

**AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

Please amend Claim 4 as follows. Insertions are shown underlined while deletions are ~~struck through~~. Please add Claims 10-19.

1 (original): An antiglare film having a light-diffusing layer in which fine resin particles are dispersed in a clear resin phase, characterized in that the fine resin particles comprise at least spherical fine resin particles and bowl-shaped fine resin particles having a concaved section at the particle center, and a refractive index  $n_x$  of the clear resin phase and a refractive index  $n_z$  of the bowl-shaped fine resin particle satisfy the relationship expressed by formula (1) below:

$$n_x - n_z \geq 0.03 \quad (1)$$

2 (original): The antiglare film as described in Claim 1, characterized in that the refractive index  $n_y$  of said spherical fine resin particle and the refractive index  $n_z$  of said bowl-shaped fine resin particle satisfy the relationship expressed by formula (2) below:

$$n_z < n_y \quad (2)$$

3 (original): The antiglare film as described in Claim 1, characterized in that the average particle size  $D_y$  of said spherical fine resin particles and the average particle size  $D_z$  of said bowl-shaped fine resin particles are in a range of 0.3 to 7.0  $\mu\text{m}$ , respectively.

4 (currently amended): The antiglare film as described in Claim 1-~~or~~3, characterized in that the average particle size  $D_y$  of said spherical fine resin particles and the average particle size  $D_z$  of said bowl-shaped fine resin particles satisfy the relationship expressed by formula (3) below:

$$0.7 D_z \leq D_y \leq 1.4 D_z \quad (3)$$

5 (original): The antiglare film as described in Claim 1, characterized in that the light-diffusing layer is provided on at least one surface of a clear base.

6 (original): The antiglare film as described in Claim 1, characterized in that the light-diffusing layer has a convex-concave surface, and convex parts of said convex-concave surface are formed by the spherical fine resin particles alone or by a mixture of the spherical fine resin particles and the bowl-shaped fine resin particles.

7 (original): The antiglare film as described in Claim 6, characterized in that a thickness of the thinnest part of said light-diffusing layer is greater than a height of said bowl-shaped fine resin particle.

8 (original): The antiglare film as described in Claim 6, characterized in that the average particle size of said spherical fine resin particles is in a range of 110 to 300% of the height of said bowl-shaped fine resin particle.

9 (original): The antiglare film as described in Claim 6, characterized in that an average roughness Ra of said convex-concave surface is in a range of 0.1 to 1.0  $\mu\text{m}$ .

10 (new): The antiglare film as described in Claim 3, characterized in that the average particle size  $D_y$  of said spherical fine resin particles and the average particle size  $D_z$  of said bowl-shaped fine resin particles satisfy the relationship expressed by formula (3) below:

$$0.7 D_z \leq D_y \leq 1.4 D_z \quad (3)$$

11 (new): An antiglare film comprising a light-diffusing layer comprising:

a clear resin phase;

fine resin particles dispersed in the clear resin phase, said fine resin particles comprised of at least (i) spherical fine resin particles and (ii) bowl-shaped fine resin particles having a concaved central section at, wherein a refractive index  $n_x$  of the clear resin phase and a refractive index  $n_z$  of the bowl-shaped fine resin particle satisfy formula (1):

$$n_x - n_z \geq 0.03 \quad (1).$$

12 (new): The antiglare film as claimed in Claim 11, wherein the refractive index  $n_y$  of said spherical fine resin particle and the refractive index  $n_z$  of said bowl-shaped fine resin particle further satisfy formula (2):

$$n_z < n_y \quad (2).$$

13 (new): The antiglare film as claimed in Claim 11, wherein an average particle size  $D_y$  of said spherical fine resin particles and an average particle size  $D_z$  of said bowl-shaped fine resin particles are in a range of 0.3 to 7.0  $\mu\text{m}$ , respectively.

14 (new): The antiglare film as claimed in Claim 11, wherein an average particle size  $D_y$  of said spherical fine resin particles and an average particle size  $D_z$  of said bowl-shaped fine resin particles satisfy formula (3):

$$0.7 D_z \leq D_y \leq 1.4 D_z \quad (3).$$

15 (new): The antiglare film as claimed in Claim 11, wherein the light-diffusing layer has a surface having an average roughness Ra of 0.1 to 1.0  $\mu\text{m}$ .

**Int'l Appl. No. : PCT/JP2005/004613**  
**Int'l Filing Date : March 16, 2005**

16 (new): The antiglare film as claimed in Claim 11, wherein a blending ratio of the spherical fine resin particles to the bowl-shaped fine resin particles, as expressed by numbers of particles, is in a range of 50/50 to 1/99.

17 (new): The antiglare film as claimed in Claim 11, wherein a total number of the spherical fine resin particles and the bowl-shaped fine resin particles is in a range of 5,000 particles/mm<sup>2</sup> to 60,000 particles/mm<sup>2</sup>.

18 (new): The antiglare film as claimed in Claim 11, further comprising a transparent substrate on which the light-diffusing layer is formed.

19 (new): The antiglare film as claimed in Claim 18, wherein the light-diffusing layer has a thickness of 0.5 μm to 50 μm.